Effect of Sales, Production Cost and Operating Cost on Net Profit

Case Study in Cosmetic Companies and Household Good Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange

Rafika Resti Astri Ningrum

Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Nusa Bangsa University; Jalan K.H. Sholeh Iskandar KM.4, Cimanggu, Tanah Sareal, Kota Bogor 16166 E-Mail: rafikaresti9@gmail.com

Iis Anisa Yulia

Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Nusa Bangsa University; Jalan K.H. Sholeh Iskandar KM.4, Cimanggu, Tanah Sareal, Kota Bogor 16166 E-Mail: anisbid@yahoo.co.id

Eha Hasni Wahidhani

Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Nusa Bangsa University; Jalan K.H. Sholeh Iskandar KM.4, Cimanggu, Tanah Sareal, Kota Bogor 16166 E-Mail: ehahasni_wahidhani@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of sales, production cost, and operating costs on net profit either partially or simultaneously. The analytical method used is panel data linear regression with the dependent variable, namely net income, and the independent variables, namely sales, production costs and operating costs. The result of the research show that sales, production cost and operating costs have an effect on net income either particially or simultaneously.

Keywords: Sales, Production Costs, Operating Costs and Net Income

INTRODUCTION

In order for a company to develop rapidly, it must go through a very hard struggle and be supported by careful planning in dealing with various problems and obstacles that arise, such as operational, financial and marketing problems of the products produced (According to Haryono in Asep 2017: 8). In the era of globalization, technological progress is increasingly rapid, which is followed by the development of economic systems that penetrate regional and national boundaries, making competition in the business world increasingly fierce. This requires entrepreneurs to carry out innovations to improve the products they produce so they can compete in the market. It is hoped that the innovation carried out will be able to maintain the stability and existence of the company.

The purpose of establishing a company is to obtain profits from its business in the future. The principle of a company is to minimize costs and maximize income, therefore companies are required to be more efficient and effective in running their business. The level of competition in the business world is increasingly high, only those who have good performance and performance can survive. More and more companies are competing with each other to increase the company's income and existence in the eyes of society, both nationally and internationally. To maintain its existence, management must be able to manage the company well. By managing sales, production costs and operational costs.

Sales, Production Cost, Operating Cost, and Net Profit



Submitted: MARCH 2024

> Accepted: MAY 2024



Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan Vol. 12 No. 2, 2024 pg. 275-284 IBI Kesatuan ISSN 2337 – 7852 E-ISSN 2721 – 3048 DOI: 10.37641/jiakes.v12i2.2061

In accounting, net profit is the profit that a company can obtain on a net basis if the income obtained exceeds the expenses incurred when producing a product (Hanafi, 2020:18). According to Kristianti (2021:61) sales are one of the factors that influence the rise and fall of income or profits that a company will obtain. The cosmetics and household goods sub-sector is one of the manufacturing company sectors engaged in the cosmetics and household goods industry which processes raw materials into semi-finished goods or finished goods on a large scale. Cosmetics and household goods has an important role in human life. Cosmetics are an important need for modern society because they support appearance and are household items that cannot be separated from human life every day.

In 2020, industrial performance, including the cosmetics sector, experienced brilliant growth of 5.59%. Even amidst the pressure from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, this manufacturing group was able to make a significant contribution to foreign exchange through its export value reaching US\$ 317 million or around Rp. 4.44 trillion in 2020 or an increase of 15.2% compared to last year.

Table 1 Empirical Data on Average Sales, Production Costs, Operational Costs and Net Profit of Cosmetics and Household Goods Sub-Sector Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange 2016-2020

YEAR	SALES	COST PRODUCTION	COST OPERATIONAL	NET PROFIT
2016	904.752.348.920	387.662.516.969	339.879.339.237	36.887.328.177
2017	847.549.794.329	368.318.110.746	346.857.548.168	26.629.748.474
2018	883.126.126.022	429.526.877.426	384.077.888.809	6.758.121.829
2019	1.104.507.617.068	555.091.706.925	444.791.352.516	89.776.112.009
2020	928.262.437.460	148.690.435.878	436.349.588.087	(19.234.695.894)
C.	• 1 • • 1 1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 2022		

Source :www.idx.co.id , data processed 2022

From the data in table 1 above, it can be seen that sales conditions, production costs and operational costs experienced fluctuations during the 2016-2020 period. The sales value in 2016 was 904,752,348,920 then decreased to 847,549,794,329 in 2017 then increased again in 2018-2019 until it reached the highest sales of 1,104,507,617,068 and in 2020 there was a decrease to 928,262,437,460. Production costs decreased in 2017, then increased in 2018-2019 and decreased again in 2020. Meanwhile, operational costs experienced different conditions in 2016-2019, increasing then decreasing in 2020 amounting to 436,349,588,087. Sales conditions, production costs and operational costs decrease, net profit will increase due to expenses company decreases. Meanwhile, the value of net profit also decreased and even experienced a loss in 2020.

Net profit comes from income, expense, profit and loss transactions, these transactions are summarized in the income statement. Profit is generated from the difference between incoming resources (income and profits) and outgoing resources (expenses and losses) during a certain period of time (Hery, 2017:46). According to Harahap (2016: 115), states that Gains (profit) is the increase in equity value from transactions that are incidental and not the main activity of the entity and from transactions or other activities that affect the entity during a certain period, except those originating from results or investments from owner.

Meanwhile, according to Suwardjono (2016: 199), currently accepted accounting profit is interpreted as the difference between income and costs, meanwhile income and costs are measured and recognized through certain procedures in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (PABU).

Sales are activities carried out by sellers in selling goods or services with the hope of making a profit from these transactions and sales can be interpreted as the

transfer or transfer of ownership rights to goods or services from the seller to the buyer (Mulyadi, 2016: 160). Sales are an income transaction, namely goods or services sent by a customer in return for cash, an obligation to pay (Wijaya, 2005:92). Meanwhile, according to Moekijat (2014: 288) states that sales is an activity aimed at finding buyers, influencing and giving instructions so that buyers can adapt their needs to the production being offered, as well as making offers regarding prices to benefit both parties.

According to Mulyadi (2015: 14), production costs are costs incurred to process raw materials into finished products that are ready to be sold. According to the expenditure object, production costs have cost elements, namely raw material costs, direct labor costs and factory overhead costs. Raw material costs and direct labor costs are also called prime costs, while direct labor costs and factory overhead costs are often called conversion costs, conversion costs themselves are the costs of converting raw materials into finished products.

According to Harnanto (2017:28), production costs are costs that are considered inherent in the product, including costs, both direct and indirect, that can be identified with the activities of processing raw materials into finished products. According to Sujarweni (2017:28) Operational costs are costs used to obtain main income. According to Wardiyah (2017:13) states that operational costs are costs that show the extent of business management efficiency. Selling costs and administrative costs are related to the operations performed. According to Jumingan (2017:32) Business/Operational costs arise in connection with the sale or marketing of goods or services and the implementation of administrative and general functions of the company concerned. Based on the three definitions above, it can be concluded that operational costs are costs that are directly related to the company's daily needs outside the production process. The formula for calculating operatio nal costs according to Wardiyah (2017:30) is as follows:

Operational Costs = Sales/Marketing Costs + Administrative and General
Costs

METHODS

This research uses quantitative methods. The population in this research is the cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies registered on the IDX, totaling 8 companies. The sampling technique uses techniques*purposive sampling* with a total sample of 5 companies. This research uses multiple linear regression analysis using panel data, namely a combination of data*time series* and data*cross section*. The regression model used uses three approaches, namely*Common Effect Model (CEM)*, *Fixed Effect Model (FEM)*And*Random Effect Model (REM)*. The accuracy of the regression model is tested using Test*Chow, Langrange Multiplier*, and Test*Hausman*. The data used is secondary data through financial reports (*annual report*) cosmetics and household goods sub- sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2016-2020 period. Data sources were obtained via www.idx.co.id andwebsite company. Data processing used in this research uses the Eviews Version 10 application.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistical Analysis

This research uses descriptive statistics to provide an overview or description of data seen from the average value (*mean*), maximum, and minimum. This analysis was carried out on the sample used in this research, namely 5 companies in the cosmetics and household goods sub-sector for the 2016-2020 period with a sample size of 25 data. The dependent variable in this research is net profit, and the independent variables are sales, production costs and operational costs.

Sales, Production Cost, Operating Cost, and Net Profit

Result of Data Analysis

Sales, Production Cost, Operating Cost, and Net Profit

Table 2 Results of Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Sampel	Mean	Maximum	Minimum
25	933.639.664.760	4.678.868.638.822	40.053.732
25	377.857.929.589	2.377.578.995.691	17.940.490
25	390.391.143.364	1.746.892.181.085	11.636.259
25	28.163.322.919	515.603.339.649	-203.214.931.752
	Sampel 25 25 25 25 25	SampelMean25933.639.664.76025377.857.929.58925390.391.143.3642528.163.322.919	SampelMeanMaximum25933.639.664.7604.678.868.638.82225377.857.929.5892.377.578.995.69125390.391.143.3641.746.892.181.0852528.163.322.919515.603.339.649

The results of descriptive statistical analysis show that for each variable studied there are 25 known amounts of data describing each variable as follows:

- a. Sales Variables. The average value is 933,639,664,760. Maximum data of 4,678,868,638,822 owned by PT. Kino Indonesia Tbk (KINO) in 2019. Meanwhile, the minimum data is 40,053,732 owned by PT. Unilever Indonesia Tbk(UNVR) in 2016.
- b. Variable Production Costs. The average value is 933,639,664,760. Maximum data of 2,377,578,995,691 owned by PT. Kino Indonesia Tbk (KINO) in 2019. Meanwhile, the minimum data is 17,940,490 owned by PT.Unilever Indonesia Tbk(UNVR) in 2016.
- c. Variable Operational Costs. The average value is 390,391,143,364. Maximum data of 1,746,892,181,085 owned by PT. Kino Indonesia Tbk (KINO) in 2019. Meanwhile, the minimum data was 11,636,259 experienced by PT. Unilever Indonesia Tbk(UNVR) in 2018.
- d. Net Profit Variable. The average value is 28,163,322,919. Maximum data of 515,603,339,649 owned by PT. Kino Indonesia Tbk (KINO) in 2019. Meanwhile, the minimum data is -203,214,931,752 owned by PT. Martina Berto Tbk (MBTO) experienced losses in 2020.

2. Classic Assumption Test

Normality test. The aim is to find out whether the residual or confounding variables in the regression model have a normal distribution or not.

 Table 3 Normality Test Result

Series : Standardized Residuals		
Sample 2016 2020		
Observations 25		
Mean	-0.027042	
Median	-1.91e+09	
Maximum	3.25e+10	
Minimum	-3.47e+10	
Std. De	1.68e+10	
Skewness	0.279293	
Kurtosis	2.605263	
Jarque-Bera	0.487329	
Probability	0.783751	

Mark *probability* equal to 0.783751 more than 0.05, it can be concluded that the data is normally distributed.

The multicollinearity test aims to test whether there is a correlation between the independent variables. If a correlation is found, then there is a collinearity problem. A good regression model is one that does not have collinearity problems or no correlation (Zulfikar, 2016:224).

Table 4.Multicollinearity Test Results

<u> </u>			
	X1	X2	X3
X1	1,000000	0.602467	0.691190
X2	0.602467	1,000000	0.768656
X3	0.691190	0.768656	1,000000

The results of the multicollinearity test can be concluded that the correlation value between independent variables (sales, production costs and operational costs) is less than 0.80 then H0rejected. So it can be concluded that there is no

<u>278</u>

multicollinearity problem between the independent variables in the regression model.

The autocorrelation test is used to test whether in a model In linear regression, there is a correlation between confounding errors in a certain period and confounding errors in the previous period. In this research, the method used to detect the presence or absence of autocorrelation is by testing Durbin Watson(DW Test).

Table	e 5.Au	tocorre	lation Te	st Result	S		
Ν	Κ	L	dU	4 - dL	4 - dU	DW	Conclusion
25	5	3	1.1228	1.6540	2.8772	2,346	2.408431
Source	o Date	nroces	od by the	author			

Source: Data processed by the author

Autocorrelation test results using the test *Durbin Watson*(DWTest) shows that the DW value is 2.408431. Meanwhile, the value 4 – dU amounting to 2,346 and a value of 4-dLamounting to 2.8772. From the basis of decision making that has been determined, the DW value is between 4 - dU and 4 - dL, namely $(4 - dU \le d$ $\leq 4 - dL$) or 2.346 $\leq 2.408431 \leq 2.8772$. The heteroscedasticity test aims to test what is in the model in regression, there is inequality of variance from the residuals of one observation to another. Detect the presence or absence of heteroscedasticity using a confidence level of 5%. The heteroscedasticity test in this study uses the Test White.

Table 6.Heteroscedasticity Test Results

Heteroskedasticity Test: White

1100010011044000000)	10000 000000		
F-statistic	26.29870	Prob. F(9.15)	0.0000
Obs*R-squared	23.51006	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0510
Scaled explained SS	34.45514	Prob. Chi-Square(9)	0.0001

The results of the heteroscedasticity test obtained values *probability* (*p-value*) equal to 0.0510 > 0.05 then H0 accepted, so it can be concluded that there is no heteroscedasticity problem.

3. Model Test or Parameter Estimation for Panel Data Regression Models a. Common Effects Model(CEM)

Variables	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistics	Prob.
С	- 1.50E+10	1.86E+10	- 0.807743	0.4283
X1	0.219818	0.089496	2.456174	0.0228
X2	0.061071	0.056065	1.089290	0.2884
X3	- 0.474247	0.207477	- 2.285775	0.0328
R-squared	0.753394	Mean depend	lent var	2.82E+10
Adjusted R-squared	0.718164	SD dependen	t var	1.27E+11
SE of regression	6.73E+10	Akaike info c	riterion	52.84885
Sum squared resid	9.52E+22	Schwarz crite	rion	53.04387
Log likelihood	- 656.6107	Hannan-Quir	nn Criter.	52.90294
F-statistic	21.38532	Durbin-Wats	on stat	1.389764
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000001			

b. Fixed Effect Model (FEM)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С	-2.276901	1.65E+10	-13.82227	0.0000
X1	0.486949	0.058734	8.290710	0.0000
X2	0.048652	0.022248	2.186766	0.0430
X3	-0.556260	0.113326	-4.908475	0.0001
		Effects Specificat	ion	
Cross-section fixed (dummy	variables)			
R-squared	0.981226	Mean dependent v	ar	2.82E+10
Adjusted R-squared	0.973495	S.D. dependent va	r	1.27E+11
S.E. of regression	2.06E+10	Akaike info criterio	on	50.59354
Sum squared resid	7.24E+21	Schwarz criterion		50.98358
Log likelihood	-624.4192	Hannan-Quinn cri	ter.	50.70172
F-statistic	126.9294	Durbin-Watson sta	ıt	2.408431
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Sales, Production Cost, Operating Cost, and Net Profit

Tabel 8. Fixed Effect Model ResultSource: Data processed with Eviews 10

a. Random Effect Model (REM)

 Tabel 9. Hasil Random Effect Model (REM)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С	-1.50E+10	5.70E+09	-2.633974	0.0155
X1	0.219818	0.027445	8.009347	0.0000
X2	0.061071	0.017193	3.552069	0.0019
X3	-0.474247	0.063626	-7.453693	0.0000
	Effects	Specification		
			S.D.	Rho
oss-section rando	1		0.000000	0.0000
iosyncratic randor	l		2.06E+10	1.0000
	Weigh	nted Statistics		
squared	0.753394	Mean dependent va	ar	2.82E+10
ijusted R-squared	0.718164	S.D. dependent var		1.27E+11
E. of regression	6.73E+10	Sum squared resid		9.52E+22
statistic	21.38532	Durbin-Watson sta	t	1.389764
ob(F-statistic)	0.000001			
	Unweig	ghted Statistics		
squared	0.753394	Mean dependent va	ar	2.82E+10
m squared resid	9.52E+22	Durbin-Watson sta	t	1.389764
X1 X2 X3 ross-section randor iosyncratic randor squared djusted R-squared E. of regression statistic ob(F-statistic) squared im squared resid	0.219818 0.061071 -0.474247 Effects 0.753394 0.718164 6.73E+10 21.38532 0.000001 Unweig 0.753394 9.52E+22	0.027445 0.017193 0.063626 Specification ted Statistics Mean dependent va Sum squared resid Durbin-Watson sta ghted Statistics Mean dependent va Durbin-Watson sta	8.009347 3.552069 -7.453693 S.D. 0.000000 2.06E+10 ar t	0. 0. 0. 1. 2.82H 1.27H 9.52H 1.38 2.82H 1.38

4. Select the best model through *diagnostic model panel*

a. Test Chow

Used to select the best approach from *Common Effects Model*(CEM) with *Fixed Effect Model*(FEM)

Table 10. Test results Chow

Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section F	51.575861	(4,17)	0.0000
Cross-section Chi-square	64.382956	4	0.0000

Source: Data processed with Eviews 10

Results from the test *Chow*shows the probability value*cross section* F equal to 0.0000 < 0.05 means H0is rejected, then it can be concluded that the most appropriate model in estimating the regression equation is *Fixed Effect Model* (FEM).

b. Hausman test

Used to select the best approach from *Random Effect Model* (REM) with *Fixed Effect Model*(FEM).

Table 11. Test results Hausman

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	206.303333	3	0.0000
Source: Data processed with Ex	views 10		

Source: Data processed with Eviews 10

Results from the test*hausman*shows the probability value*random cross section*equal to 0.0000 < 0.05 means H0is rejected, then it can be concluded that the most appropriate model in estimating the regression equation is *Fixed Effect Model* (FEM).

In this study, the Langerange multiplier test was not carried out. because the results of the two tests, namely the Chow test and the Hausman test, have been selected *Fixed Effect Model* (FEM) as the most appropriate model, a regression line equation is obtained as follows:

Net Profit = -2.276901 + 0.486949 Sales + 0.048652 Costs

Production - 0.556260 Operating Costs

<u>280</u>

Based on the results of the panel data regression analysis above, it shows that:

- a. Net profit has a constant of -2.276901, meaning that if sales, production costs and operational costs are equal to 0, then the current year's net profit will be -2.276901.
- b. The regression coefficient for the sales variable is 0.486949, meaning that if sales increase by one unit, net profit will increase by 0.486949 units, assuming the values of other independent variables remain constant. (*Ceteris Paribus*)
- c. The regression coefficient for the production cost variable is 0.048652, meaning that if production costs increase by one unit, net profit will increase by 0.048652 units, assuming the values of other independent variables remain constant. (*Ceteris Paribus*)
- d. The regression coefficient for the operational cost variable is 0.556260, meaning that if operational costs increase by one unit, net profit will decrease by -0.556260 units, assuming the values of other independent variables remain constant. (*Ceteris Paribus*)

Test of the Coefficient of Determination R2

The model feasibility test was carried out by referring to the obtained values coefficient of determination or *R*-squared. The coefficient of determination value is obtained from the value of the multiple correlation coefficient squared. Based on the results of data analysis *Fixed Effect Model* (FEM) in the value column*R*-squared amounting to 0.981226. meaning that 98.12% of variations in net profit can be influenced by sales, production costs and operational costs. Meanwhile, 1.88% could be influenced by other factors not examined in this study.

Partial Hypothesis (t Test)

The sales variable has a calculated t value of 8.290710 with a probability value of 0.0000 < 0.05 so this can be partially interpreted as saying that the sales variable influences net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period.

The production cost variable has a calculated t value of 2.186766 with a probability value of 0.0000 < 0.05 so this can be interpreted partially that the production cost variable has an effect on net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period.

The operational cost variable has a calculated t value of -4.908475 with a probability value of 0.0430 < 0.05 so this can be partially interpreted to mean that the operational cost variable has an effect on net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX for the year period. 2016-2020.

Simultaneous Hypotheses (F Test)

Based on the results obtained from the F test, it shows that the F value is 126.9294 with a probability value of 0.000000 < 0.05. This can be interpreted as meaning that there is a joint influence between sales, production costs and operational costs on net profit in cosmetics and consumer goods sub-sector companies. households registered on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period.

Discussion

Effect of Sales on Net Profit. The research results show that sales have a positive effecton net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period. This means that by increasing sales, the company's net profit will also increase. The higher the sales, the higher the profits received by the company. One step to get big profits is to pay attention to the size of sales. Increasing cash income will increase profits.

Sales, Production Cost, Operating Cost, and Net Profit

The Effect of Production Costs on Net Profit. Based on the research results, it shows that production costs has a positive effect on net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub- sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period. High production costs affect sales levels. In terms of quantity, a company has limited its production output by adjusting the production costs that will be incurred. When product yields are reduced in quantity, it has an impact on the profits obtained. This means that by optimally reducing production costs, the company's profits will increase. Therefore, company management needs information on production costs that have been incurred in the production process.

The Effect of Operational Costs on Net Profit. Based on the research results, it shows that operational costs has a negative effect on net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2016-2020 period. This shows that by reducing the costs incurred by the company, it will increase net profit. However, companies also need to pay attention to reducing operational costs, because if the company's operational costs are too reduced, operational activities will be less than optimal and will reduce net profit.

The influence of sales, production costs and operational costs on net profit together. Based on the results of the F test, it shows that the probability value is 0.000000 < 0.05, meaning that the three independent variables, namely sales, production costs and operational costs, together influence the dependent variable, namely net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the IDX. period 2016-2020.

CONCLUSION

This research examines the effect of sales, production costs and operational costs on net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub- sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. The research results show that partially sales have an effect on net profit, production costs have an effect on net profit, operational costs have an effect on net profit. Simultaneously sales, production costs and operational costs influence net profit in cosmetics and household goods sub-sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) for the 2016-2020 period.

REFERENCES

- [1] Basuki, Agus Tri, and Nano Prawoto. 2017.*Regression Analysis in Research Economics & Business*. Depok: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- [2] Casmadi, Yohanes, and Fransiska Sri Rejeki Butar Butar. 2018. Cost Effects Production and Sales Against Net Profit (Case Study of a Manufacturing Company Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange in the Cable Sub Sector for the 2013-2017 Period). Accounting Journal, 10(2): 14-26.
- [3] Diana. 2021. *The Effect of Debt, Working Capital, and Sales on Net Profit in the Food and Beverage Sector listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange in 2014-2018.* Management Journal Vol. 7, no.
- [4] Dwi, Safitri. 2020. The Influence of Production Costs and Operational Costs on Net Profit in Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange for the 2014-2018 Period.e-Proceeding of Management Vol.7, No.:2751.
- [5] Endang S, AM 2017. The Influence of Sales and Operational Costs on Profit Clean PT. Indocement Tunggal Prakarsa (Perero) Tbk Period 2010-2017. Scientific Journal of Management and Accounting.
- [6] Ghozali, Imam. 2016a.*Multivariate Analysis Application with the IBM SPSS Program* 23. Semarang: Diponegoro University.
- [7] Ghozali, Imam. 2016b.*Multivariate Analysis Application with the IBM SPSS Program* 23. Semarang: Diponegoro University.

- [8] Ghozali, Imam. 2018. *Multivariate Analysis Application with the IBM SPSS Program* 25. Semarang: Diponegoro University.
- [9] Indonesian Accountants Association (IAI). 2016.2016 revised Financial Accounting Standards. Jakarta : Salemba Empat.
- [10] Marlita, K. 2018. Influence of Room Sales Volume AND Operational Costs Regarding NetProfit at the Grand Wijaya Singaraja Hotel in 2014-2016. Undiksha Journal of Economic Education Volume 10 No. 2 of 2018.
- [11] Mulyadi. 2018. Cost Accounting 5th Edition Eleventh Printing. Yogyakarta: School of YKPN Management Science College.
- [12] Mulyana, A. 2020. *The Influence of Production Costs and Operational Costs on Net profit*. Journal of Accounting Research/Volume 12/No.1/April 2020
- [13] Mustoffa A. Firdausi, Hidayah Nurul. 2018. *Intermediate Financial Accounting 1*. Ponorogo: Calina Media
- [14] Novialita, Wulan. 2020. The Effect of Sales and Production Costs on Profit Net (Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange for the 2014-2018 Period). Bandung: STIE STAN Indonesia Mandiri
- [15] Purwaji, Agus. Wibowo, Hexana Sri Lastanti. 2017. *Introduction to Accounting 2 Edition 2.* Jakarta : Salemba Empat
- [16] Sofyan Syafri Harahap. 2016.*Critical Analysis of Financial Reports Edition 1-6.* Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada
- [17] Sugiyono. 2017. *Qualitative, Quantitative, and R&D Research Methods*. Bandung: Alphabet.
- [18] Syaputra, DP 2016. *The Influence of Production Costs and Operational Costs on Net profit.* Telkom University.
- [19] T. Harahap. 2020. Cost accounting. Batam: Batam Publisher.
- [20] Budiman, M. A., & Amyar, F. (2021). The effect of audit opinions, implementation of audit recommendations, and findings of state losses on corruption levels in the ministries and institutions of the Republic of Indonesia. Jurnal Tata Kelola Dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara, 7(1), 113–129. https://doi.org/10.28986/jtaken.v7i1.471
- [21] Damik, D., Purba, E., & Hutabarat, A. S. (2021). The Effect of Population and Human Development Index on Economic Growth Pematangsiantar City. *BIRCI-Journal*, 4(3), 3658–3668.
- [22] Fanesha, F., Muktiadji, N., & Hendrian, G. (2021). Pengaruh Loan To Deposit Ratio, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Dan Non Performing Loan Terhadap Profitabilitas Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI). Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 9(2), 131–140.
- [23] Guicheldy, A., & Sukartaatmadja, I. (2021). Pengaruh Capital Adequacy Ratio, Non Performing Loan, Biaya Operasional dan Pendapatan Operasional Terhadap Pertumbuhan Laba Bank. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, 9(1), 131–140.
- [24] Hermawan, S., Sudradjat, S., & Amyar, F. (2021). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Leverage, Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Tax Avoidance Perusahaan Property dan Real Estate. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan, 9(2), 359–372. https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i2.873
- [25] Hermawan, T., & Sutarti, S. (2021). Pengaruh Likuiditas, Leverage, dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Pengungkapan Sustainability Report. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan, 9(3), 597–604. https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i3.1209
- [26] Imtinan, G., & Hasibuan, D. H. (2021). Pengaruh Temuan Audit Dan Tindak Lanjut Hasil Pemeriksaan Terhadap Tingkat Pengungkapan Laporan Keuangan Pada Kementerian. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan, 9(2), 215–224. https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i2.488
- [27] Iriyadi, I., & Antonio, Y. (2021). Climate Change Disclosure Impact on Indonesian Corporate Financial Performance. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 8(2), 117– 127. https://doi.org/10.24815/jdab.v8i2.20424

<u>283</u>

- [28] Karina, K., & Sutarti, S. (2021). Pengaruh Ukuran Perusahaan Dan Corporate Governance Terhadap Manajemen Laba Di Industri Perbankan Indonesia. Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Kesatuan, 9(1), 111–120. https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v9i1.487
- [29] Magdalena M, A., Sahala Marpaung, B., & HM Hasibuan, D. (2021). The Effect of Activity Ratio to the Company's Profitability in Trading, Service, and Investment Sub-Sector. *Journal of Accounting, Business and Finance Research*, 11(1), 38–45. https://doi.org/10.20448/2002.111.38.45
- [30] Maulana, A., Ariffin, M., & Gendalasari, G. G. (2021). Pengaruh Return On Assets Dan Biaya Operasional Terhadap Pendapatan Operasional Terhadap Market Share Pada Bank Syariah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, 9(1), 163–172. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v9i1.504
- [31] Munawar, A., Gendalasari, G. G., Kurniawan, I. M. G. A., Purnomo, D., Ependi, N. H., Rulinawaty, Indrawan, M. I., & Sadri, M. (2021). Cluster Application with K-Means Algorithm on the Population of Trade and Accommodation Facilities in Indonesia. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1933(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1933/1/012027
- [32] Neva, S., & Amyar, F. (2021). Pengaruh Fraud Diamond dan Gonetheory Terhadap Academic Fraud. *JAS-PT (Jurnal Analisis Sistem Pendidikan Tinggi Indonesia)*, 5(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.36339/jaspt.v5i1.408
- [33] Puspitasari, R., & Astrini, D. (2021). Dampak Literasi Dan Inkuisi Keuangan Terhadap Kinerja Pelaku UMKM Di Kota Bogor. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 9(2), 181–190. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v9i2.771
- [34] Puspitasari, R., Tinggi, S., Ekonomi, I., Zarkasyi, S. W., Padjadjaran, U., Iriyadi, I., Tinggi, S., & Ekonomi, I. (2021). Competency and Quality of Financial Reporting Management of Competency and Quality of Financial Reporting Management. May, 37–46. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4969626
- [35] Rainanto, B. H., Bon, A. T., Mekaniwati, A., & Melle, J. Van. (2021). Interaction of Green Marketing Mix (GMM) and Pro-Environmental Behavior (PEB) in the Hospitality Industry to Achieve Sustainable Industry Performance (SIP). *Review of International Geographical Education Online*, 11(3). https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=id&user=l3KyB3kA AAAJ&sortby=pubdate&citation_for_view=l3KyB3kAAAAJ:d1gkVwhDpl0C
- [36] Riwoe, F. L. R., & Purba, J. H. V. (2021). Analisis Sikap Multiatribut Fishbein Dalam Pengambilan Keputusan Mahasiswa Memilih Kampus IBI Kesatuan. JAS-PT (Jurnal Analisis Sistem Pendidikan Tinggi Indonesia), 5(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.36339/jaspt.v5i1.409
- [37] Sastra, H., Ariziq, B., & Sukartaatmadja, I. (2021). Pengaruh Financing To Deposit Rasio Dan Non Performing Financing Terhadap Return On Asset Studi Kasus pada Enam Bank Umum Syariah di Indonesia Periode 2014-2018. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 9(3), 653–664. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v8i2.353
- [38] Widaningsih, N., Sutiharni, S., Istikomah, I., & ... (2021). Application of digital Agricultural Tools in Indonesia: From Creativity towards Rural Community Innovation. ... Research and Critics ..., 14092–14102. https://www.bircujournal.com/index.php/birci/article/view/3512