The Effect of Work Environment and Work Stress on Employee Performance: A Quantitative Analysis at Cahaya Pelita Surya

Rini Ardista Institut Bisnis Muhammadiyah; Bekasi, Indonesia E-Mail: riniardista27@gmail.com

Anita Novialumi Universitas Bina Insani; Bekasi, Indonesia Work Environment and Performance

1033

Submitted: 1 NOVEMBER 2023

Accepted: 30 NOVEMBER 2023

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine how motivation work discipline affects employee performance. This research method used in this research is a descriptive quantitative method collecting data from questionnaires from the employees of at CV. Cahaya Pelita Surya in Bekasi, West Java. The software used to process and analyze the data figures is SPSS version 22.0. Based on the results of the analysis and discussion in this study, it can be concluded: there is a positive and significant influence between Work Environment and Work Stress on employee performance variables, this is shown by Work Environment obtained t-table > t-count or (3.119 > 2.048) and Work Stress t-table > t-count (5.845 > 2.048). Based on the results of the f-test, Work Environment and Work Stress simultaneously (simultaneously) have a significant influence on employee performance variables. This is shown by the f-count value of 77.992 which is greater than f-table 3.32 and a significant value of 0.000 which is smaller than 0.05. While the value of the coefficient of determination (Adj R2) is 0.837 which means that the work environment and work stress variables are able to explain the performance variable of 83.7% while the remaining 16.3% is influenced by other factors not included in this study.

Keywords: Work Environment, Work stress, Employee performance

ABSTRAK

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menentukan bagaimana disiplin kerja motivasi memengaruhi kinerja karyawan. Metode penelitian yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah metode deskriptif kuantitatif yang mengumpulkan data dari kuesioner kepada karyawan di CV. Cahaya Pelita Surya di Bekasi, Jawa Barat. Perangkat lunak yang digunakan untuk memproses dan menganalisis data adalah SPSS versi 22.0. Berdasarkan hasil analisis dan diskusi dalam penelitian ini, dapat disimpulkan bahwa ada pengaruh positif dan signifikan antara Lingkungan Kerja dan Stres Kerja terhadap variabel kinerja karyawan, hal ini ditunjukkan oleh Lingkungan Kerja yang mendapatkan nilai t-tabel > t-hitung atau (3.119 > 2.048) dan Stres Kerja t-tabel > t-hitung (5.845 > 2.048). Berdasarkan hasil uji f, Lingkungan Kerja dan Stres Kerja secara bersamaan (serentak) memiliki pengaruh signifikan terhadap variabel kinerja karyawan. Hal ini ditunjukkan oleh nilai f-hitung sebesar 77.992 yang lebih besar dari f-tabel 3.32 dan nilai signifikan sebesar 0.000 yang lebih kecil dari 0.05. Sedangkan nilai koefisien determinasi (Adj R2) adalah 0.837 yang berarti bahwa variabel lingkungan kerja dan stres kerja dapat menjelaskan variabel kinerja sebesar 83,7%, sementara sisanya sebesar 16,3% dipengaruhi oleh faktor lain yang tidak termasuk dalam penelitian ini.

Kata kunci: Lingkungan kerja, Stres kerja, Kinerja karyawan

JIMKES

Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan Vol. 11 No. 3, 2023 pp. 1033-1040 STIE Kesatuan ISSN 2337 - 7860

INTRODUCTION

Increasingly competitive industrial environments impact both manufacturing and service sectors. Companies must enhance productivity and deliver quality products. The ability to produce quality products is intrinsically tied to human resources, a pivotal element within an organization. Humans play a crucial role as promoters and determinants of organizational processes, emphasizing the need for a positive organizational direction (Paais & Pattiruhu, 2020).

Employee performance significantly influences organizational success, with every company aspiring to have successful employees, contributing substantially to overall performance (Ali & Anwar, 2021). Exceptional employees enhance company performance and address human resource challenges often faced by companies. Effective human resource management is essential, as the success of management relies on the quality of human resources. A company's continuity is contingent upon the effective functioning of its human resources. Achieving desired employee performance is challenging due to various influencing factors, including motivation, job satisfaction, stress levels, physical work conditions, compensation systems, and job design (Dziuba et al., 2020; Hassan et al., 2020; Parker et al., 2017).

The work environment itself is a notable factor impacting employee performance (Dubbelt et al., 2019; Hartinah et al., 2020). The work environment, where employees conduct daily activities, significantly influences their performance. Effective human resource management is crucial for balancing employee needs with organizational capabilities. The physical work environment, representing working conditions, aims to provide a comfortable atmosphere, facilitating employees in achieving company goals.

Another factor influencing employee performance is the level of stress experienced by company employees (Kumar et al., 2021; Wong et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2021). Stress, resulting from work pressures, can impact an individual's emotions, thought processes, and physical condition (Daniel, 2019; Lazarus, 2020; Ramlawati et al., 2021). Elevated work stress among employees can disrupt overall company productivity, making stress a prominent issue in the modern era (Yuan et al., 2021). Islamic teachings offer various methods to alleviate psychological conflicts arising from stress. The work environment and employee work stress significantly influence employee performance, a key factor in company development (Chanana & Sangeeta, 2021; Davidescu et al., 2020; Ingsih et al., 2021; Kurniawaty et al., 2019). Employee performance is assessed based on aspects such as quality, quantity, work time, and collaboration, all contributing to the achievement of organizational goals (Sabuhari et al., 2020; Saffar & Obeidat, 2020; Sitopu et al., 2021; Ibrahim, 2018).

This research was conducted at CV Cahaya Pelita Surya, a business in the industrial and manufacturing equipment sector that receives orders from several large manufacturing companies. The company produces various spices of the archipelago, such as ginger, pepper, chili, and more. As the company recently opened a new branch with a new building, and the existing facilities are not yet adequate, the author selected this company as the research subject. The study aims to empirically demonstrate the significant influence of work environment and work stress variables on employee performance. Focusing on analyzing the impact of both variables on employee performance, this study investigates whether a favorable work environment and low levels of work stress contribute to improved employee performance in the company. Thus, this research provides a more in-depth understanding of the factors influencing employee performance in the specific context of this company.

METHODS

The type of research used in this study is quantitative, which involves creating findings through systematic procedures or other quantitative methods using numerical data. The quantitative approach aims to determine the magnitude of variables influencing the level of labor productivity at Cahaya Pelita Surya in Bekasi, Wast Java.

The research population consists of objects determined through specific criteria and categorized into research subjects, such as people, documents, or records. The population, in this case, comprises employees at Cahaya Pelita Surya, totaling 31 employees. The sampling technique employed is Nonprobability sampling, using the saturated sampling method. Nonprobability sampling does not provide equal opportunities for each population element to be selected. Saturated sampling involves using the entire population as samples, which, in this relatively small study, includes all 31 employees. The analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.

RESULTS

The respondent data, successfully collected from 31 participants, includes information on (a) Gender, (b) Age, (c) Last Education, and (d) Length of Service. Based on Table 1, it can be concluded that out of the 31 respondents, the majority were male, constituting 23 individuals (74.2%), while the remaining 8 individuals (25.8%) were female. In terms of education, the sample primarily consisted of respondents with junior high school education, accounting for 12 individuals (38.7%), followed by those with high school education, comprising 16 individuals (51.6%). The remaining 3 respondents (9.7%) had attained S1 education. Furthermore, in relation to the length of service, the majority of the 31 respondents had tenures of 1-5 years, totaling 12 individuals (38.7%), followed by those with tenures of 6-10 years, amounting to 10 individuals (32.3%). Additionally, there were 5 respondents (16.1%) with tenures of 11-15 years, and the remaining 4 respondents (12.9%) had tenures of less than 1 year.

Table 1. Characteristics of Respondents							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Gender	Male	23	74.2	74.2	74.2		
	Women	8	25.8	25.8	100.0		
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Education	Junior High School	12	38.7	38.7	38.7		
	Senior High School	16	51.6	51.6	90.3		
	Bachelor	3	9.7	9.7	100.0		
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Tenure	<1	4	12.9	12.9	12.9		
	1-5	12	38.7	38.7	51.6		
	6-10	10	32.3	32.3	83.9		
	11-15	5	16.1	16.1	100.0		
	Total	31	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Source: data processed SPSS 22.0 (2021)

The normality test aims to assess whether the data in this study follows a normal distribution. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is utilized for this purpose, and the results will be presented. Examining the Asymptotic Significance (Asymp. Sig) value in Table 2 reveals a value of 0.074. This indicates that the Asymp. Sig value exceeds 0.05, as specified. Therefore, it can be concluded that the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test suggests the data in this study adheres to a normal distribution. Furthermore, the multicollinearity test is employed to identify the occurrence of multicollinearity in the data. This test involves checking the tolerance value (should be greater than 0.1) and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value (should be less than 10). The results of the multicollinearity test are presented in Table 3.

The results indicate that the tolerance value for the work environment (X1) and work stress (X2) is 0.461, which is greater than 0.10, and the VIF value is 2.171, which is less than 10.00. These findings imply that the variables meet the classic assumption of multicollinearity, as the tolerance values are above 0.10, and the VIF value is below 10.00. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity present between the variables of Work Environment (X1) and Work Stress (X2).

Work Environment and Performance

The heteroscedasticity test is conducted to determine whether there is similarity or unequal variance between observations in a regression model. In this study, the heteroscedasticity test utilizes a scatterplot graph. If a specific pattern is observed in the scatterplot graph, it indicates multicollinearity; conversely, if the points on the scatterplot graph are scattered around the number 0 on the Y-axis, multicollinearity is not identified. The scatterplot graph, as depicted in Figure 1 - Heteroscedasticity Test Results, indicates that this study is free from heteroscedasticity assumptions. The data points do not exhibit a discernible pattern and are evenly spread both above and below, or around, the number 0 on the Y-axis.

Table 2. Kolmogrov Smirnov test results						
One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test						
		Unstandardized Residual				
N		31				
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000				
	Std. Deviation	.89582638				
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.150				
	Positive	.092				
	Negative	150				
Test Statistic	.150					
Asymp. Sig. (2-ta	.074 ^c					
a. Test distribution is Normal.	·					
b. Calculated from data.						

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

Table 3. Multicollinearity Test Results

Coefficients*									
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF	
1	(Constant)	.269	2.004		.134	.894			
	lingkungan kerja	.400	.125	.348	3.199	.003	.461	2.171	
	setres kerja	.764	.131	.635	5.845	.000	.461	2.171	

a. Dependent Variable: kinerja

Source: data processed SPSS 22.0 (2022)



The analysis of linear regression aims to predict the value of the dependent variable based on increases or decreases in the independent variable. Additionally, it is employed to ascertain the direction of the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, determining whether each independent variable is positively or negatively related to the variable in question. Multiple linear regression analysis is specifically utilized to examine the impact of two or more independent variables on a

single dependent variable. Table 4 presents the results of data processing conducted using SPSS Version 22.

Work Environment and Performance

Coefficients*							
			Standardized				
	Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Coefficients				
Model	в	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.		
1 (Constant)	.269	2.004		.134	.894		

400 764

Table 4. Multiple Linear Regression Test Results

a. Dependent Variable: kinerja

lingkungan keria

Table 5. F Test Results (Simultaneous)

125

131

348

635

3.199

5.845

003

000

ANOVA ³								
Mode	el	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
1	Regression	134.118	2	67.059	77.992	۵00°.		
	Residual	24.075	28	.860				
	Total	158.194	30					

a. Dependent Variable: kinerja

b. Predictors: (Constant), setres kerja, lingkungan kerja

The F-test is employed to determine whether all independent variables collectively have a significant impact on the dependent variable. The decision-making criteria for the F-test are based on a significance level (α) of 5%, with degrees of freedom (df2) equal to 31-2-1 + = 28, resulting in a critical T-table value of 3.32. The acceptance conditions for the hypothesis are as follows:

- If t-table > t-count and significance < 0.05, then Ho is accepted, and Ha is rejected.

- If t-table < t-count and significance < 0.05, then Ho is rejected, and Ha is accepted.

Referring to Table 5, the F-sig. value is 0.000, indicating that the p-value $< \alpha$ or 0.000 < 0.05. Comparing F-count and F-table, with t-count at 77.992 using a confidence level of 95%, $\alpha = 5\%$, df2 (4-2 = 2), and df1 (31-2-1 = 28), the resulting T-table value is 3.32. Since F- count > F-table (77.992 > 3.32), the results suggest that there is a significant influence of both the work environment and work stress on employee performance. Moreover, there is a significant relationship between the work environment and work stress concerning employee performance at this company.

The research results indicate a significant influence of the work environment and work discipline on employee performance. The data, gathered from 31 respondents in the field, are deemed valid and reliable. Data validity is established when the r-count for each variable surpasses the required r-table value of 0.339, and the significance level for each statement is below 0.05. Data reliability is confirmed when the Cronbach's alpha value for each variable exceeds 0.60.

For the work environment variable, the first statement's r-count is 0.576, the second statement is 0.741, the third statement is 0.468, the fourth statement is 0.801, the fifth statement is 0.681, with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.658. For the work discipline variable, the first statement's r-count is 0.376, the second statement is 0.506, the third statement is 0.692, the fourth statement is 0.865, the fifth statement is 0.572, with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.657. For the employee performance variable, the first

1038

statement's r-count is 0.551, the second statement is 0.758, the third statement is 0.677, the fourth statement is 0.777, the fifth statement is 0.767, the sixth statement is 0.383, with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.740.

Regarding the F-test results, the independent variables of the work environment (X1) and work stress (X2) collectively exhibit a significant influence on the dependent variable Performance (Y). The F count of 77.992 exceeds the F-table value of 3.32, with a significance value of 0.000, which is less than the probability value of 0.05. Therefore, the study confirms a relationship between the work environment and work stress, both simultaneously and partially, indicating a positive influence on employee performance.

CONCLUSION

Based on the data analysis that has been carried out regarding the work environment, work stress, and employee performance at Cahaya Pelita Surya, several conclusions can be drawn. First, partially, the work environment variable has a significant effect on employee performance with a tcount value greater than the ttable (3.119 > 2.048) and a significance of 0.003. This means that the work environment has a significant impact on employee performance. Second, the work stress variable also has a significant effect on employee performance partially, with a tcount (5.845) which is greater than the t table (2.048) and a significant 0.000. Thus, work stress individually also has a significant effect on employee performance. Furthermore, third, simultaneously, work environment and work stress together have a significant effect on employee performance, indicated by the results of the F test (88.270 > 3.29) and significant 0.000. These results indicate that work environment and work stress variables have a joint impact on employee performance. The fourth conclusion, all these significant test results support that there is a relevant relationship between work environment, work stress, and employee performance in the company.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, B. J., & Anwar, G. (2021). An empirical study of employees' motivation and its influence job satisfaction. *Ali, BJ, & Anwar, G.(2021). An Empirical Study of Employees' Motivation and its Influence Job Satisfaction. International Journal of Engineering, Business and Management, 5(2), 21-30.*
- [2] Chanana, N., & Sangeeta. (2021). Employee engagement practices during COVID-19 lockdown. *Journal of public affairs*, 21(4), e2508.
- [3] Daniel, C. O. (2019). Effects of job stress on employee's performance. *International Journal of Business, Management and Social Research*, 6(2), 375-382.
- [4] Davidescu, A. A., Apostu, S. A., Paul, A., & Casuneanu, I. (2020). Work flexibility, job satisfaction, and job performance among Romanian employees—Implications for sustainable human resource management. Sustainability, 12(15), 6086.
- [5] Dubbelt, L., Demerouti, E., & Rispens, S. (2019). The value of job crafting for work engagement, task performance, and career satisfaction: longitudinal and quasi-experimental evidence. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 28(3), 300-314.
- [6] Dziuba, S. T., Ingaldi, M., & Zhuravskaya, M. (2020). Employees' job satisfaction and their work performance as elements influencing work safety. *System Safety: Human-Technical Facility-Environment*, 2(1), 18-25.
- [7] Hartinah, S., Suharso, P., Umam, R., Syazali, M., Lestari, B., Roslina, R., & Jermsittiparsert, K.
 (2020). Retracted: Teacher's performance management: The role of principal's leadership, work environment and motivation in Tegal City, Indonesia. *Management Science Letters*, 10(1), 235-246.
- [8] Hassan, M., Azmat, U., Sarwar, S., Adil, I. H., & Gillani, S. H. M. (2020). Impact of job satisfaction, job stress and motivation on job performance: a case from private universities of karachi. Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Kuwait Chapter), 9(2), 76-86.
- [9] Ibrahim, A. (2018, April). Islamic Work Ethics and Economic Development in Islamic Countries: Bridging Between Theory and Reality. In *International Conference of Moslem Society* (Vol. 2, pp. 43-50).
- [10] Ingsih, K., Wuryani, W., & Suhana, S. (2021). The role of work environment, work motivation, and leadership to improve employee performance with job satisfaction as an intervening variables. *Academy of strategic management journal*, 20(3), 1-11.
- [11] Kumar, P., Kumar, N., Aggarwal, P., & Yeap, J. A. (2021). Working in lockdown: the relationship between COVID-19 induced work stressors, job performance, distress, and life satisfaction. *Current Psychology*, 1-16.

- [12] Kurniawaty, K., Ramly, M., & Ramlawati, R. (2019). The effect of work environment, stress, and job satisfaction on employee turnover intention. *Management science letters*, *9*(6), 877-886.
- [13] Lazarus, R. S. (2020). Psychological stress in the workplace. In *Occupational stress* (pp. 3-14). CRC Press.
 [14] D. S. M. G. D. Wi, J. D. (2020). Effect of a stress in the last stress.
- [14] Paais, M., & Pattiruhu, J. R. (2020). Effect of motivation, leadership, and organizational culture on satisfaction and employee performance. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(8), 577-588.
- [15] Parker, S. K., Van den Broeck, A., & Holman, D. (2017). Work design influences: A synthesis of multilevel factors that affect the design of jobs. *Academy of Management Annals*, *11*(1), 267-308.
- [16] Ramlawati, R., Trisnawati, E., Yasin, N., & Kurniawaty, K. (2021). External alternatives, job stress on job satisfaction and employee turnover intention. *Management Science Letters*, *11*(2), 511-518.
- [17] Sabuhari, R., Sudiro, A., Irawanto, D., & Rahayu, M. (2020). The effects of human resource flexibility, employee competency, organizational culture adaptation and job satisfaction on employee performance. *Management Science Letters*, *10*(8), 1775-1786.
- [18] Saffar, N. A. G. A., & Obeidat, A. (2020). The effect of total quality management practices on employee performance: The moderating role of knowledge sharing. *Management Science Letters*, *10*(1), 77-90.
- [19] Sitopu, Y. B., Sitinjak, K. A., & Marpaung, F. K. (2021). The influence of motivation, work discipline, and compensation on employee performance. *Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management*, 1(2), 72-83.
- [20] Wong, A. K. F., Kim, S. S., Kim, J., & Han, H. (2021). How the COVID-19 pandemic affected hotel Employee stress: Employee perceptions of occupational stressors and their consequences. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 93, 102798.
- [21] Yu, J., Park, J., & Hyun, S. S. (2021). Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on employees' work stress, well-being, mental health, organizational citizenship behavior, and employee-customer identification. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, *30*(5), 529-548.
- [22] Yuan, K., Gong, Y. M., Liu, L., Sun, Y. K., Tian, S. S., Wang, Y. J., ... & Lu, L. (2021). Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder after infectious disease pandemics in the twenty-first century, including COVID-19: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Molecular psychiatry*, 26(9), 4982-4998.